

Gregory J. Vogler (*pro hac vice*)
 Email: gvogler@mcandrews-ip.com
 Robert A. Surrette (*pro hac vice*)
 Email: bsurrette@mcandrews-ip.com
 Merle S. Elliott (*pro hac vice*)
 Email: melliott@mcandrews-ip.com
 Caroline A. Teichner (*pro hac vice*)
 Email: cteichner@mcandrews-ip.com
 McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.
 500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor
 Chicago, Illinois 60661
 Telephone: (312) 775-8000
 Facsimile: (312) 775-8100

William R. Overend (SBN 180209)
 Email: woverend@reedsmith.com
 REED SMITH LLP
 101 Second Street, Suite 1800
 San Francisco, California 94105-3659
 Telephone: (415) 543-8700
 Facsimile: (415) 391-8269

Attorneys for Defendants,
 STRYKER CORPORATION and
 STRYKER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPY-
 AMERICA, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

STRYKER CORPORATION and
 STRYKER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 14-00876 RS

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~
 ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
 ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND
 TO AMENDED COMPLAINT AND
 RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S SECOND
 MOTION TO STRIKE AND DISMISS**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 7-12, Plaintiff Karl Storz Endoscopy-America, Inc. (“KSEA”) and Defendants Stryker Corporation and Stryker Communications, Inc. (collectively, “Stryker”), by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate to and jointly request an extension of time until July 18, 2014, for Stryker to answer the Amended Complaint and an extension of time until July 25, 2014, for Stryker to respond to Plaintiff’s Second Motion to Strike and Dismiss with Prejudice Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims, as follows:

1. On April 25, 2014, Stryker filed its Answer and Counterclaims (Dkt. No. 40) after a stipulated one-month extension of time (Dkt. No. 24). On May 16, 2014, KSEA filed a Motion to Strike and Dismiss with Prejudice certain of Stryker’s Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims. (Dkt. Nos. 45, 46.)

2. On May 23, 2014, the Parties filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order to extend Stryker’s time to respond to KSEA’s Motion to Strike and Dismiss by one week and to extend KSEA’s time to file a reply in support of its Motion to Strike and Dismiss by one week. (Dkt. No. 51.) The Court entered the Stipulation and Order on May 28, 2014. (Dkt. No. 52.)

3. On June 6, 2014, Stryker filed its First Amended Answer and Counterclaims. (Dkt. No. 55.) On June 17, 2014, the Parties filed a Stipulation and Proposed Order to extend KSEA’s time to respond to Stryker’s Amended Counterclaims by one week. (Dkt. No. 65.) The Court entered the Stipulation and Order on June 17, 2014. (Dkt. No. 66.)

4. On June 27, 2014, KSEA filed both an Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 67) and a Second Motion to Strike and Dismiss with Prejudice Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims (Dkt. No. 68). In its Amended Complaint, KSEA has asserted new claims for infringement under a fifth patent, namely U.S. Patent No. 8,439,821. (Dkt. No. 67 at ¶¶ 40–45.)

5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(1)(3), Stryker would have 14 days, or until July 11, 2014, to respond to KSEA’s Amended Complaint. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(a), Stryker would also have 14 days, or until July 11, 2014, to respond to KSEA’s Second Motion to Strike and Dismiss.

6. In order to give Stryker a full and fair opportunity to respond to the new allegations raised in KSEA’s Amended Complaint and to respond to the arguments raised in KSEA’s Second

1 Motion to Strike and Dismiss, the Parties have stipulated to give Stryker a one-week extension of
 2 time to respond to the Amended Complaint and a two-week extension of time to respond to the
 3 Second Motion to Strike and Dismiss. Specifically, the Parties have stipulated to give Stryker until
 4 July 18, 2014, to answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint and until July 25, 2014, to
 5 respond to the Second Motion to Strike and Dismiss.

6 7. Neither extension will change or alter any other deadlines currently set by the Court.¹

7 8. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2(a), this stipulation is accompanied by the Declaration
 8 of Robert A. Surrette setting forth (1) the reasons for the requested enlargement of time; (2) all
 9 previous time modifications in this case; and (3) the effect of the requested enlargement of time.

10 Respectfully submitted,

11 Dated: July 8, 2014

12 REED SMITH LLP

13 /s/ William R. Overend²
 14 William R. Overend (SBN 180209)
 15 Attorneys for Defendants,
 16 STRYKER CORPORATION and
 STRYKER COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

17 Dated: July 8, 2014

18 BECK, BISMONTE & FINLEY, LLP

19 /s/ Alfredo A. Bismonte
 20 Alfredo A. Bismonte (SBN 136154)
 21 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
 KARL-STORZ ENDOSCOPY AMERICA, INC.

22 ¹ KSEA has agreed to withdraw its pending Second Motion to Strike and Dismiss (Dkt. No. 68) after
 23 Stryker files its response to the Amended Complaint. KSEA's withdrawal of the pending Second
 24 Motion to Strike and Dismiss would be without prejudice to KSEA's ability to file a new motion
 25 responsive to any amended answer or any counterclaim filed by Stryker. The Parties have agreed that
 26 KSEA shall have 14 days after Stryker files its response to the Amended Complaint to respond to
 27 Stryker's response. Because KSEA has agreed to withdraw its pending Second Motion to Strike and
 Dismiss, the Parties have not formally stipulated to extended dates for KSEA's Reply Brief in
 Support of the motion or the hearing. If, however, the Court is inclined to set those dates at this time,
 the Parties propose August 8, 2014, as the deadline for KSEA to file its Reply and Thursday, August
 28, 2014, at 1:30 PM, as the date for the hearing on KSEA's Motion.

28 ² In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i), I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this
 document has been obtained from each of the other signatories hereto.

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

- Stryker has until July 18, 2014, to answer or otherwise respond to the Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 67); and
- Stryker has until July 25, 2014, to respond to KSEA's Second Motion to Strike and Dismiss with Prejudice Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims (Dkt. No. 68).

Dated: 7/10, 2014



Honorable Richard G. Seeborg
United States District Judge